

Prior to his appointment to the State bench, Judge Ross served as county counselor for St. Louis County and in the St. Louis County's Prosecuting Attorney's Office. He is a graduate of Emory University and the Emory School of Law. The American Bar Association Standing Committee on the Federal Judiciary unanimously rated Judge Ross "well qualified."

Timothy M. Cain is nominated to be U.S. district judge of South Carolina. Judge Cain presently serves as a South Carolina Family Court judge in the Tenth Judicial Circuit. The South Carolina General Assembly elected him to that position in 2000 and reelected him in 2004 and 2010. In 2005 the chief justice of South Carolina's Supreme Court appointed Judge Cain to serve as the chief administrative judge for the Family Court of the Tenth Judicial Circuit. By designation of the chief justice, Judge Cain also served as acting associate justice for the South Carolina Supreme Court on several occasions.

Prior to his judicial service, Judge Cain had a distinguished private practice in South Carolina. He maintained a general practice and assisted in representing several local governments and municipal clients. During his years of private practice he also served the public sector. Judge Cain served as a part-time assistant public defender with the Oconee Defender Corporation in that State.

From 1988 to 1990 he served as assistant solicitor general for the Solicitor's Office of the Tenth Judicial Circuit, where he represented South Carolina in prosecuting child abuse and neglect cases and various criminal cases.

In 1992 the county supervisor appointed Judge Cain as county attorney for that home county.

He is a graduate from the University of South Carolina and the University of South Carolina School of Law. The ABA Standing Committee on the Federal Judiciary unanimously rated Judge Cain "qualified."

I congratulate both nominees and yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, Calendar No. 169 is confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination of Timothy M. Cain, of South Carolina, to be United States District Judge for the District of South Carolina?

Mr. LEAHY. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second? There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from New Mexico (Mr. BINGAMAN) is necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 99, nays 0, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 140 Ex.]

YEAS—99

Akaka	Gillibrand	Mikulski
Alexander	Graham	Moran
Ayotte	Grassley	Murkowski
Barrasso	Hagan	Murray
Baucus	Harkin	Nelson (NE)
Begich	Hatch	Nelson (FL)
Bennet	Heller	Paul
Blumenthal	Hoeven	Portman
Blunt	Hutchison	Pryor
Boozman	Inhofe	Reed
Boxer	Inouye	Reid
Brown (MA)	Isakson	Risch
Brown (OH)	Johanns	Roberts
Burr	Johnson (WI)	Rockefeller
Cantwell	Johnson (SD)	Rubio
Cardin	Kerry	Sanders
Carper	Kirk	Schumer
Casey	Klobuchar	Sessions
Chambliss	Kohl	Shaheen
Coats	Kyl	Shelby
Coburn	Landrieu	Snowe
Cochran	Lautenberg	Stabenow
Collins	Leahy	Tester
Conrad	Lee	Thune
Coons	Levin	Toomey
Corker	Lieberman	Udall (CO)
Cornyn	Lugar	Udall (NM)
Crapo	Manchin	Vitter
DeMint	McCain	Warner
Durbin	McCaskill	Webb
Enzi	McConnell	Whitehouse
Feinstein	Menendez	Wicker
Franken	Merkley	Wyden

NOT VOTING—1

Bingaman

The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid on the table.

The President shall be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate will now resume legislative session.

EXTENDING THE GENERALIZED SYSTEM OF PREFERENCES—Continued

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Montana.

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that there be 2 minutes equally divided prior to the next vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Republican leader.

AMENDMENT NO. 626

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, my amendment on which we are about to vote would grant to the President something no President has had since trade promotion authority expired back in 2007. Without trade promotion authority, there will be no other trade agreements. We all know that. If America wants to be the leader of the world in trade, we have to have trade agreements.

What I have done here is offered trade promotion authority—what we used to call fast-track—as an amendment to trade adjustment assistance. They have been historically linked going back to 1974. I think it is a big

mistake for our country, even if we provide trade adjustment assistance, to just operate as if there are not going to be any more trade agreements in the United States. We used to be the leader in world trade.

My party does not occupy the White House. I want the President of the United States, whoever that is, to have trade promotion authority because I would like to see us have an opportunity to have trade agreements in the future. All of our competitors have taken advantage of the fact that we have not had a trade agreement for years.

These three agreements were actually negotiated by the previous administration. So if we would like for this President or the next President—because this would extend TPA to the end of 2013, so it will grant this authority to the next President, whoever that is, in addition to this President—if my colleagues think we ought to have another trade agreement sometime in the future for the United States of America, I urge them to support my amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Montana.

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, I agree with much of what the minority leader said. I very much believe we should negotiate free-trade agreements with other countries. I think we are behind the curve. Other countries are negotiating. We are being left behind. We should negotiate agreements that are good agreements.

The amendment offered by the Senator from Kentucky, however, is the 2002 version. A lot has changed in the last 10 years. There are environmental provisions, labor, and China is very much a competitor. I think it would be unwise to extend TPA because there are changes in the world today that this version does not reflect. It has to be updated to the current times.

Second, if this amendment would pass, then we wouldn't be getting free-trade agreements. The Speaker has made it very clear he wants a clean bill and then he will take up TAA—this bill—which many of us support by a large margin, and then he will take up the free-trade agreements. So if this body wants TAA and wants the FTAs, we have to vote against this amendment at this time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to amendment No. 626, as modified, offered by the Senator from Kentucky, Mr. MCCONNELL.

Mr. MCCONNELL. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second? There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk called the roll.

The result was announced—yeas 45, nays 55, as follows: